



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/973,748	10/10/2001		Michael Dean Dallin	BLD920010017US1	7134	
23550	7590	7590 04/27/2005		EXAMINER		
and the second second		CK & D'ALESSA	MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C			
3 E-COMM SQUARE ALBANY, NY 12207				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2113		

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/973,748	DALLIN, MICHAEL DEAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Maskulinski	2113		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	·	Michael C. Maskulinski	2113						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
	REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of								
	this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	compliance with 37 (CFR 41.31; or					
a) b)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.						
peen f CFR 1 above earned	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on illed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened start if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a ind the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	and the appropriate extension The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the Notice of Appeal was filed on	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
	NDMENTS								
3. 🖂	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause					
	 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: The amendments require a further search			•					
4. 🔲 5. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	•	(PTOL-324).					
3. 3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	-	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. 🛛	the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	,						
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
v I. I. I.	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
В. 🔲	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the content of the conten	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-							
	<u>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>] The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. [Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: see attached sheet.								
, o. E	omor. See anathred Sheet.								
			•						

Art Unit: 2113

Grounds for Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-20, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith, Jr., U.S. Patent 5,754,755.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 6, 7, 9, 21, 22, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, Jr., U.S. Patent 5,754,755.

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100